FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 08:10 PM INDEX NO. 950095/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

# EXHIBIT A

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ARK85 DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA FOUNDATION a/k/a THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; GREATER NEW YORK COUNCILS, BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA d/b/a STATEN ISLAND COUNCIL BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, INC.; ST. TERESA PARISH a/k/a CHURCH OF ST. TERESA OF THE INFANT JESUS; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Index No. \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT** 

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

From approximately the years of 1984 through 1987, Christopher James Diaz sexually abused Plaintiff as a child. While the abuse occurred, Defendants were generally negligent, they negligently employed Christopher James Diaz, and gave him access to children, including Plaintiff. This lawsuit arises out of Plaintiff's significant damages from that sexual abuse, as described below. Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

#### A. Plaintiff

1. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 08:10 PM INDEX NO. 950095/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

2. Plaintiff currently resides in the State of New York.

3. Plaintiff brings this action under a pseudonym with leave of Court.

B. Defendants

4. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference

includes that entity, its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and

successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of

any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by

or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives while they were

actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of the entity's

business or affairs.

5. At all times material, Defendant National Boy Scouts of America

Foundation a/k/a The Boy Scouts of America ("BSA") was and is a congressionally

chartered corporation, authorized to do business in New York, with its principal place of

business located at 1325 W. Walnut Hill Lane, Irving, Texas 75038. Defendant BSA

includes, but is not limited to, the organization and any other organization and/or

entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place

of business.

6. At all times material, BSA had and continues to have continuous and

systematic contacts throughout the State of New York including, but not limited to, New

York County.

7. At all times material, Defendant Greater New York Councils, Boy Scouts of

America d/b/a Staten Island Council Boy Scouts of America, Inc. ("Greater New York

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Councils d/b/a Staten Island Council") was and continues to be a non-profit corporation

authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the state of New York, with

its principal place of business at 475 Riverside Drive, Room 600, New York, New York

10115. Defendant Greater New York Councils d/b/a Staten Island Council includes, but

is not limited to, the organization and any other organization and/or entities operating

under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business.

8. At all times material, Defendant St. Teresa Parish a/k/a Church of St.

Teresa of the Infant Jesus ("St. Teresa Parish") was and continues to be a non-profit

corporation authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the State of New

York, with its principal place of business at 1634 Victory Boulevard, Staten Island, New

York 10315. Defendant St. Teresa Parish includes, but is not limited to, the organization

and any other organization and/or entity operating under the same or similar name with

the same or similar principal place of business.

9. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are unknown agents whose identities will be

provided when they become known pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 1024.

**JURISDICTION** 

10. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendants Greater

New York Councils d/b/a Staten Island Council's, and St. Teresa's principal place of

business is in New York and because the unlawful conduct complained of herein

occurred in New York.

11. Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 503 in that New York County is the

principal place of business of Defendant Greater New York Council and Defendant Staten

NVCCEF DOC NO 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Island Council. In addition, many of the events giving rise to this action occurred in New York County.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. At all times material, Christopher James Diaz ("Diaz") was an adult leader of Boy Scout Troop No. 37 at Greater New York Councils d/b/a Staten Island Council in Staten Island, New York. At all times material, Diaz remained under the direct supervision, employ, and control of Defendants. Defendants placed Diaz in positions where he had access to and worked with children as an integral part of his work.
- 13. Plaintiff was a youth member of Troop No. 37 at St. Teresa and came into contact with Diaz as an agent and representative of Defendants.
- 14. Through his participation in the Boy Scouts, Plaintiff developed great admiration, trust and respect for scouting and came to know and trust Diaz as his scoutmaster, a mentor and authority figure. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on Defendants and Diaz. Defendants had custody of Plaintiff an accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for Plaintiff and authority over Plaintiff.
- 15. In approximately 1984 to 1987, when Plaintiff was approximately 11 to 15 years old, Diaz engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.
- 16. Defendants have known for decades that sexual predators had infiltrated scouting, desiring positions around children, due in part to their sexual interest in children. Defendants knew or should have known of the danger that pedophiles presented to children participating in scouting before Plaintiff was abused.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

17. Defendants' own "Ineligible Volunteer Files," including a subcategory referred to as the "Perversion Files," collected and maintained in secrecy for at least 70 years, reveal that pedophiles are drawn to scouting and that the Boy Scouts is a sanctuary for child molesters.

- 18. Defendants' "Perversion Files" demonstrate that the Boy Scouts are aware and have been aware that pedophiles are attracted to scouting, the distinctive characteristics of scouting render scouts particularly susceptible to pedophiles who are given authority, and the actual and apparent authority of persons who serve in scoutmaster roles are used by pedophiles to sexually abuse young scouts in and out of scouting.
- 19. In 2012, Defendant BSA was ordered to disclose the Ineligible Volunteer Files of 1247 alleged child sexual abusers who worked for the Boy Scouts of America between 1965 and 1985 by the Oregon Supreme Court. BSA continues to conceal information about ineligible volunteers that have been disclosed and the files of, and information about, ineligible volunteers not included in the 2012 release. As a result, children are at risk of being sexually molested.
- 20. In 2019, Dr. Janet Warren, a professor of psychiatry and neurobehavioral sciences employed at the Institute of Law, Psychiatry and Public Policy at the University of Virginia, testified that she was contracted by BSA to review Ineligible Volunteer Files from 1944 through 2016. Dr. Warren testified that her review of the files identified 7,819 perpetrators who they believed had been involved in sexually abusing a child. A review of the BSA files also identified 12,254 victims.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

21. In 2013, a Minnesota District Court ordered Defendant BSA to disclose all Ineligible Volunteer Files of alleged child sexual abusers who worked in the Boy Scouts of America between 1999 and 2008. The Ineligible Volunteer Files produced under this order comprised approximately 1,538 cases of abuse detailed in approximately 48,000 pages of documents. However, these files are under court order not to be published, shared, or distributed by parties other than Defendant BSA without the court's permission, which has not been granted. As a result, BSA continues to conceal information about ineligible volunteers who worked for the Boy Scouts of America between 1999 and 2008. As a result, children are at risk of being sexually molested.

- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant BSA has failed to report multiple allegations of sexual abuse of children by its agents to the proper civil authorities. As a result, children continue to be at risk of being sexually molested.
- 23. Defendant BSA continues to conceal important information about the agents and volunteers accused of sexual misconduct with minors. As a result, children are at risk of being sexually molested.

#### **COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE**

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this Count.
- 25. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the Plaintiff from injury.
- 26. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of care because each Defendant had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

27. Defendants also had a duty arising from the special relationship that existed with Plaintiff, Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, innocent, vulnerable children in the BSA to properly train and supervise its agents. This special relationship arose because of the high degree of vulnerability of the children entrusted to their care. As a result of this high degree of vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship, Defendants had a duty to establish measures of protection not necessary for persons who are older and better able to safeguard themselves.

- 28. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because each Defendant also had a special relationship with Diaz.
- 29. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they solicited youth and parents for participation in their youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have the youth participate in their programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for children; held their agents, including Diaz, out as safe to work with children; encouraged children to spend time with their agents; and/or encouraged their agents, including Diaz, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.
- 30. By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, Defendants established an *in loco parentis* relationship with Plaintiff and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from injury. Further, Defendants entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff by undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendants undertaking the care and guidance of the Plaintiff, Defendants also held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Further, Defendants, by holding themselves out as being able to provide a safe environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment.

Defendants, through its employees, exploited this power over Plaintiff and, thereby, put

the minor Plaintiff at risk for sexual abuse.

31. By establishing and/or operating the BSA, Greater New York Council d/b/a Staten Island Council, and Troop 37 at St. Teresa, accepting the minor Plaintiff as

a participant in their programs, holding their facilities and programs out to be a safe

environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis, and by

establishing a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff, Defendants entered into an express

and/or implied duty to properly supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe

environment for children, who participated in their programs. Defendants owed Plaintiff

a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from foreseeable dangers.

Defendants had the duty to exercise the same degree of care over minors under their

control as a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar

circumstances.

32. By establishing and operating the BSA, Greater New York Council d/b/a

Staten Island Council, and Troop 37 at St. Teresa which offered educational programs to

children, and by accepting the enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff as a

participant in those educational programs, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to properly

supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

33. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm

because Defendants invited Plaintiff onto their property and Diaz posed a dangerous

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

condition on Defendants' property.

34. Each Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendants failed to use ordinary care in determining whether their facilities were safe and/or determining whether they had sufficient information to represent their facilities as safe. Defendants' breach of their duties include, but are not limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a known danger, failure to have sufficient policies and procedures in place to prevent child sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to ensure that policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and children of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child molestation, failure to properly train the employees at institutions and programs within Defendants' geographical confines, failure to train the minors within Defendants' geographical confines about the dangers of sexual abuse by leaders and/or scoutmasters, failure to have any outside agency test their safety procedures, failure to protect the children in their programs from child sex abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, leaders and people as safe, failure to train their employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by fellow employees, failure by relying upon mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

35. Defendants also breached their duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family of the risk that Diaz posed and the risks of child sexual abuse in the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Boy Scouts of America. They also failed to warn them about any of the knowledge that

Defendants had about child sexual abuse, including the information contained in the

"Ineligible Volunteer" Files.

36. Defendants additionally violated a legal duty by failing to report known

and/or suspected abuse of children by Diaz and/or its other agents to the police and law

enforcement.

37. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have

learned that Diaz was not fit to work with children. Defendants, by and through their

agents, servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of

Diaz's propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very

least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient

information about whether or not their leaders and people working in the Boy Scouts

were safe.

38. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sex

abuse for children participating in BSA programs and activities. At the very least,

Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information

about whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in BSA

programs and activities.

39. Defendants knew or should have known that Defendants had numerous

agents who had sexually molested children. Defendants knew or should have known that

child molesters have a high rate of recidivism. They knew or should have known that

there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children participating in their youth

COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 08:10

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

programs.

40. However, despite this knowledge, Defendants negligently deemed that

Diaz was fit to work with children; and/or that any previous suitability problems Diaz

had were fixed and cured; and/or that Diaz would not sexually molest children; and/or

that Diaz would not injure children.

41. Defendants' actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a

vulnerable child participating in the programs and activities Defendants offered to

minors, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. Additionally, as a vulnerable child who Diaz

had access to through Defendants' facilities and programs, Plaintiff was a foreseeable

victim.

42. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENT HIRING OF EMPLOYEES

43. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

44. At all times material, Diaz was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein. Diaz engaged in the illegal conduct while acting in the

course and scope of his employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the sexual

abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

45. Defendants were negligent in the hiring of its employees. Defendants negligently hired and/or retained Diaz and/or negligently placed Diaz in a position to cause foreseeable harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendants

taken reasonable care in its pre-hiring investigation of Diaz.

46. Defendants negligently hired Diaz with knowledge of Diaz's propensity for

the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action. Defendants failed

to investigate Diaz's past history of inappropriate conduct and, through the exercise of

reasonable diligence, should have known of Diaz's propensity for child sexual abuse.

Defendants were required to make an appropriate investigation of Diaz and failed to do

so. An appropriate investigation would have revealed the unsuitability of Diaz for

employment and it was unreasonable for Defendants to hire Diaz in light of the

information they knew or should have known.

47. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION OF EMPLOYEES

48. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

49. At all times material, Diaz was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein. Diaz engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting in the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

course and scope of his employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

- 50. Defendants had a duty, arising from their employment of Diaz, to ensure that he did not sexually molest children.
- 51. Further, Defendants owed a duty to train and educate employees and administrators and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated to detect, prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and conduct between adults and children.
- 52. Defendants were negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of their employees. Defendants failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise, and/or monitor their agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that should be followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed. Defendants were additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or investigate Diaz and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies, procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Diaz's sexual abuse of Plaintiff. In failing to properly supervise Diaz, and in failing to establish such training procedures for employees and administrators, Defendants failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.
- 53. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of Defendants in the training and/or supervising of its employees.

COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 08:10

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

**COUNT IV: NEGLIGENT RETENTION OF EMPLOYEES** 

54. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

At all times material, Diaz was employed by Defendants and was under 55.

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein.

56. Defendants negligently retained Diaz with knowledge of Diaz's propensity

for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action. Defendants

failed to investigate Diaz's past and/or current history of sexual abuse and, through the

exercise of reasonable diligence, should have known of Diaz's propensity for child sexual

abuse. Defendants should have made an appropriate investigation of Diaz and failed to

do so. An appropriate investigation would have revealed the unsuitability of Diaz for

continued employment and it was unreasonable for Defendants to retain Diaz in light of

the information they knew or should have known.

57. Defendants negligently retained Diaz in a position where he had access to

children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected

to had Defendants taken reasonable care.

58. In failing to timely remove Diaz from working with children or terminate

the employment of Diaz, Defendants failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably

prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

59. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants in the retention of its employees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for

judgment against Defendants in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff

for Plaintiff's injuries and damages and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all

lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable. Pursuant to §4 of the New

York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

Dated: August 19, 2019

New York, New York

/s/ Patrick Stoneking

Patrick Stoneking

Nahid A. Shaikh

Rayna E. Kessler (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 980-7400

Email: PStoneking@RobinsKaplan.com

Email: NShaikh@RobinsKaplan.com

Email: RKessler@RobinsKaplan.com

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 4

INDEX NO. 950095/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Tara D. Sutton (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

#### **ROBINS KAPLAN LLP**

800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 349-8500

Email: TSutton@RobinsKaplan.com

Roman M. Silberfeld (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

## ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 552-0130

Email: RSilberfeld@RobinsKaplan.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson

J. Michael Reck

## JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

Email: Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com

Email: MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff